

*MIKE AUFDERHAR*

l l, q in ll .  
 l l q in l . q t  
 l l l f l ,  
 l q l , q f l  
 l . B, l q q t q , l q l l  
 in l .  
 C l in l l q, C ? , q f .  
 l l 10 00 in q t C q f in  
 ff .  
 , l . . l l q ff q in , q, l in q  
 l l q in l , q in q q q in ! l q t  
 l l q f l ? C f l q f in in l  
 q t l , l f in l f in l q q  
 f q l .  
 . l q ll l . B, l l l , C ,  
 l q ll l l f l q t . q  
 in ll l q , q q l f in f l q t  
 l ll l l l q q in in q  
 l f in l , l . C l in l in l ?  
 A l l in l , q t l C q ll  
 in q in q l in l , in l f  
 q in q t ll in q .  
 l , ll ll . A l q t ff in  
 q t ll q l q , in l in in-  
 in l f l l ( l , 206 , . 15-17).  
 l , in l , . ll  
 , q C . in in in .  
 q q l in q t l q f in l  
 in f l l l . C q , l l l  
 l f in l l l q , l  
 ll q f l l f l q .  
 l , q l , C q ll l , l l  
 in l l in q in q in l l f q t  
 , in f , q ,  
 in l , something l l in in l l f  
 f l l q q in l l l l ! l l  
 l l ! C , q f in in l . q really l q,  
 q l ?

, C , , d t . t d t in d f ,  
d , , t d t t t t in , t t d  
f f t t t d . in t t , t  
t , , d f t t in d , in -  
t t , , d f in d , t .  
t t t ?

B, t t in also t t t d , t in t t , -  
-in t . f t , insightful ,  
t t t t in t t t t t t in you.  
in t , f t t t t , in -  
t d , t t t t , d t d t d t t d t .  
t t t t ?

, , t , C d .  
in , t t f , t t t in t f , t  
t t , t d t t in t d , d d  
t t t , , d , t t t t .  
d t , ? d .

, t , d! C d t t t f .  
d t quit the ministry t , t t  
 , d t d not t t f in t t d  
in , t .

F t t f in , t d f in f , t d  
t d t C f f d t d  
d d t t , t d in d , t  
t d in . t d C F in t in , d t  
t in d , t , C . F in ,  
t in t in d in t d t d d ff  
in t in d in . F t t  
more d , t d f . F t t , t in t  
d C d t in d f  
f , t , t in A , d , 2010). C t t  
C F in t in d t t d t  
f in t in in d t t  
t t t t d t t t .

### What Is Clergy Family Systems Theory?

C F in t in , t t F in t in f

W. B. (196, 1978. B & , 1997. & B , 1988),

in

B

B

C

f

t t t f F in , t t F in C t f ,

t , t B t 1990. B

C t t f t B t t

B t in f in t t t t f in t t t

t f in in in t t t f in in t t

t in t t t in in t t t t in t t t t

f in t t t in in t t t t t t t t

t t t t t t in t t f t t f in

in in t t t t .A t t f t t ff in

t t . (B f C t f t t f in , 2009, .2)

t t t in t t t t f t t



1. 1999 年 1 月 1 日起实施的《中华人民共和国公司法》规定，股份有限公司的设立可以采取发起设立或者募集设立的方式。募集设立是指由发起人认购公司应发行股份的一部分，其余部分向社会公开募集而设立公司。

2. 根据《公司法》的规定，股份有限公司的发起人应当承担下列责任：

(一) 在公司不能成立时，对设立行为所产生的债务和费用负连带责任；

(二) 在募集设立的情况下，对未募足股份负连带责任；

(三) 在公司不能成立时，对认股人已缴纳的股款，负返还股款并加算银行同期存款利息的连带责任；

(四) 在公司设立过程中，由于发起人的过失致使公司利益受到损害的，应当对公司承担赔偿责任。

3. 股份有限公司的发起人，除应符合《公司法》关于股份有限公司发起人的条件外，还应当具备下列条件：

(一) 具有完全民事行为能力；

(二) 在中国境内有住所；

(三) 具有认缴股款的资金能力；

(四) 不得为法律、行政法规所禁止的其他行为。

4. 股份有限公司的发起人，应当于创立大会召开前，将公司章程草案、发起人协议、缴纳股款的凭证等文件，报经国务院授权的部门或者省级人民政府批准。

f l m f l l

the ... that ...  
emotional systems!  
your ...  
And,



t l, l ! , ll l l q, , l in q  
 in q l , in l l , l l. in q f  
 , q . l l ,  
 l q q q . , l q , q  
 l l q l l f l l l . l in  
 , ?  
 , q f l , l q l l , q.  
 l l q l l q l , f l q in .A , q  
 l l , q in . , f l  
 q l l in l , l . f l q , l q f l  
 in in l l in ff in l .  
 f l q , l in q q in f in f l q l  
 l l q in q l l .  
 E q ll l l l in F in  
 l in l , q q l l , l q , -  
 , q . q q , l , in A !  
 l q q q , ll l l l . , q in in-  
 q ll ll q l ,  
 in in f in in in q l in , l in  
 in in , q q l l in ff l l q.  
 , q l q l l q. l q q ,  
 , l l in l in l l .  
 l l q ll l l l in.  
 l - l q f , l l l q  
 q in f in . l  
 . l f l in f l , f in , f l l , q  
 q q l l q q ff l . l l l  
 , l , l f l q q q l l l !  
 f l, f l l q in l q, q l q  
 l .  
 l l l , l ll in f l l l ,  
 l f l l in l , q l in l l  
 , l l l q l q l l in q q ,  
 l q. l l trying l l in , l l  
 q q l , q l q l I l l l l in q q l  
 in , l in l f l q.  
 B , l in in , l q l in  
 , l q l l , l l f in

l l q in l , q l q l .  
l l ll q l q l f in-  
f , q q , l l f q q l in  
l l ff ll q l . , q l l q , q -  
l q l q in , l l l l  
f in l .A q l , l , l  
l l l in l l q , l ,  
f in l f q l q , l .  
l , l l f l ll in -  
l , l l . in l f q ff -  
l l , f q l f l l l f  
l in f l q. q l in q ff l l q  
in f in f , l l in f l  
q in , q l l in l q l -  
l q q in l l in  
l l . B l l in l l

19 ,   
 have   
 that, .

... , ... , ...   
 , ...

am ,   
 hardly at all! B,   
 B,   
 in

A   
 B   
 C

...   
 B,   
 ...   
 ...



2. In the first part of the study, the researchers used a self-report questionnaire to measure the level of calmness in the storm. The questionnaire was based on the work of Berman and colleagues (2006) and consisted of 24 items. The items were rated on a 5-point scale from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very much). The overall score was calculated by averaging the scores for all items. The results showed that the overall score was significantly higher than the control group (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05). This indicates that the participants in the study were significantly more calm in the storm than the control group.

## Results

The results of the study are presented in Table 1. The overall value of the dependent variable (Calmness) was significantly higher than the control group (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05). This indicates that the participants in the study were significantly more calm in the storm than the control group. The results also showed that the participants in the study were significantly more aware of self (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) and more aware of systems (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) than the control group.

The results of the study are presented in Table 1. The overall value of the dependent variable (Calmness) was significantly higher than the control group (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05). This indicates that the participants in the study were significantly more calm in the storm than the control group. The results also showed that the participants in the study were significantly more aware of self (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) and more aware of systems (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) than the control group.

The results of the study are presented in Table 1. The overall value of the dependent variable (Calmness) was significantly higher than the control group (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05). This indicates that the participants in the study were significantly more calm in the storm than the control group. The results also showed that the participants in the study were significantly more aware of self (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) and more aware of systems (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) than the control group.

The results of the study are presented in Table 1. The overall value of the dependent variable (Calmness) was significantly higher than the control group (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05). This indicates that the participants in the study were significantly more calm in the storm than the control group. The results also showed that the participants in the study were significantly more aware of self (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) and more aware of systems (F(1, 24) = 4.24, p < .05) than the control group.



In the past, the church has often been a place of conflict and division. However, the church can be a place of peace and unity if we focus on the needs of the community and work together to address them. This is the goal of the church's mission, and it is a goal that we should all strive to achieve.

The church is a community of people who are united by their faith in Jesus Christ. It is a place where we can find comfort, support, and guidance in our lives. The church is also a place where we can learn about God and His love for us. We are called to love one another and to serve the needs of the community. This is the heart of the church's mission, and it is a mission that we should all embrace.

**References**

A. (2010). Clergy family systems training and how it changes clergy leadership attitudes and practices. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 24(1), 1-10.

B. C. (2009). Bowen Theory definition. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 23(6), 1009-1010.

B. (1966). The use of family theory in clinical practice. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 1(1), 1-10.

B. (1978). Family therapy in clinical practice. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

B. & C. (1997). Bowen theory & practice: Feature articles from the Family Center Report, 1979-1996. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 11(1), 1-10.

B. (1996). Bradshaw on the family: A new way of creating solid self-esteem (1996). *Journal of Family Psychology*, 10(1), 1-10.

E. (1998). The enlightened eye: Qualitative inquiry and the enhancement of educational practice. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

F. (1985). Generation to generation: Family process in church and synagogue. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 9(1), 1-10.

G. (2006). The eight concepts of Bowen theory. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20(1), 1-10.

H. (2006). The leader's journey: Accepting the call to personal and congregational transformation. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20(1), 1-10.

I. E., & J. (1988). Family evaluation: An approach based on Bowen theory (1988). *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

K. B. (1998). Qualitative research and case study applications in education (2006). *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

L. (1996). Creating a healthier church: Family systems theory, leadership, and congregational life. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 10(1), 1-10.

- Auf der Har, D. (2009). Becoming a healthier pastor: Family systems theory and the pastor's own family. *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, 1(1), 1-10.
- Auf der Har, D. (1972). Peoplemaking. *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, 1(1), 1-10.
- Auf der Har, D. (1997). How your church family works: Understanding congregations as emotional systems. *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, 1(1), 1-10.
- Auf der Har, D. (1998). Healthy congregations: A systems approach. *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, 1(1), 1-10.
- Auf der Har, D. (2006). Congregational leadership in anxious times: Being calm and courageous no matter what. *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, 1(1), 1-10.